

General Comments – South of the Borough Primary Expansion FINAL

I am writing to oppose the plans to develop and expand the above school on the grounds of the impact that such a proposal will have on the surrounding area. My husband and I who are 71 and 80 years of age respectively, live in a small cul de sac off Salmons Road, both of which are in close proximity to the school. Each day, particularly in the afternoon, both roads become heavily congested with parked cars as a result of the parking restrictions that are in place nearer the school. The residents in Carlton Close are overwhelmingly retired and at home during the day. Should it be necessary for an emergency vehicle to require access, it would be impossible for them to do so, especially in Salmons Road where they would not be able to even mount a pavement as cars are parked on both sides. In the event that the proposals for this development are approved, I would urge you to implement further parking restrictions in these areas in order to avoid a situation where an emergency response is required and is unable to access the area. In the event that no such changes are made, I would be grateful if you would inform me how the local authority will ensure the safety of residents is maintained.

While I accept that due to the various factors outlined the number of school places has to be increased Ellingham is not the school to expand. The major reasons for this are:

1) Traffic There are already significant parking restrictions for parents dropping off and collecting children at Ellingham. The council has added more yellow lines in the surrounding roads during the past year thereby increasing the existing parking problems. As demonstrated at other schools in Chessington your proposal of Sustainable Travel Plans is very weak, people cannot just stop using cars because they are asked to. Parking near the vicinity of the school is already challenging and causes tension, doubling the size of the school can be expected to more than double the number of cars driving and there is no room for them. Further to the immediate area around Ellingham the local road infrastructure cannot cope, Garrison Lane, The Leatherhead Road and The Hook Road are already at breaking point and therefore Ellingham is the wrong location to expand.

2) School Size Children are flourishing at Ellingham due in part to the one form entry; the inclusive and nurturing atmosphere of the school would be compromised by doubling the size despite the Ofsted claims cited in your FAQs.

3) Play Area Space Ellingham School has very limited outside space as it is. The proposed plans not only increase the footprint of the school, but increase the area required for parking etc. meaning twice the number of children will have to play in a smaller area, Mr Jones (the Headmaster) promised "fields" at the Ellingham consultation meeting, I can only assume he was shown very different plans to those currently proposed.

4) Closure of Moor Lane School Why has a large primary school been closed in Chessington? In light of these expansion plan issues, surely it would make sense to refurbish and reopen this school rather than try to cram extra classes into schools such as Ellingham? Clearly this was a fundamental policy failure; however it could still be reversed, with the new school being built on the Moor Lane site and the Moor Lane Children's Centre relocated to Castle Hill.

5) The option of building a new school elsewhere The council is currently proposing building a recycling centre on one of two location in the South of the Bough, I have been advised that both of these locations are larger the Ellingham, why are these not being considered as a site for a completely new school? To conclude, while it is clear more school places are needed, these should be provided by building a new school, not by trying to cram them into an existing small school. Further to this the whole local area infrastructure needs to be improved, the South of the Borough is getting increasingly overcrowded with more and more houses being build on smaller and smaller plots, without the increase in other services to go with that.

1. I do not think that the Ellingham site is suitable for twice as many children as it currently has, the footprint of the new school plan is bigger and therefore the children's outside play space will be significantly reduced. Play space on top of roofs (which has been mentioned by the architect) is not, I believe, an acceptable alternative.

2. Kingston council needs to revisit ideas for other sites to build a new school on - have they reconsidered the proposed waste sites yet? We were assured they would do so at the Ellingham consultation meeting in April.

3. Twice as many children at the school will impact heavily on parking for parents which is already at maximum capacity on the neighbouring roads, there seems to be no suitable plan in place to deal with this issue. Children's safety will be compromised if there are too many cars accessing the school and tensions will rise both with parents jostling for spaces and with local residents for whom this is an unfair situation.

4. As a frequent visitor to the Moor Lane site it is very frustrating to know this former school will not be reopened as a primary school thus providing the much needed places. This school has plenty of space, not all of which is currently in use! In hindsight it would make more sense to use the Castle Hill site for the disabled centre and re-open Moor Lane as a school.

I think the expansion proposal have been a fire fighting activity following the mistake of closing Moor Lane school. Ellingham is simply not big enough to accommodate another form yet is being suggested because there is not another school to expand in the area. I feel that the expansion process should include building a new school in the Chessington area and I have suggested to Anne Redparth two sites which are larger than Ellingham but have not been considered. These are the coal depot opposite CCC in Garrison Lane and the waste management proposal site in the Croft area. There is also a third site which is the land (former allotments) in Alms Lane next to Chessington World of adventures which has access to both a car park and a field which would resolve the two main concerns of the Ellingham site - outdoor play space and access/parking. I am very concerned that no-one has been able to tell me during the proposal how much play space the children will have in the new school, especially as I was told that it definitely aligned with government guidelines. How do they know if they have not calculated the available space? One of the architects was under the impression that there would be an additional 120 children not 210 children on the site and again confirmed that there would be enough outdoor play space... Again how can they confirm that when they clearly have the wrong information. At the public consultation meeting we were told that we would be given ample time for questions at the end of the presentation and she would not take any questions during the presentation... but the speaker cut short the questions at the end because she had a prior engagement. Not really a consultation. We were also assured that there would be someone to answer our questions on building logistics access/egress to site, traffic concerns etc but they were not available. I am also concerned that the dates provided in the hard copy pink paper were different to those in the presentation at the public consultation. Information regarding funding was different at Lovelace compared to Ellingham £80 million vs £70 million where the lady at Lovelace stated that there was shortfall of £10 million which they needed to find. Anne Redparth stated that it was always £70 million?

I am concerned that the outdoor play area space is not going to be enough if the school is doubled in size. Also that there would be GRASSED areas, where the children could sit under the shade of TREES in the hot weather. This grassed area could then be used for sports day. Ellingham used to have a great time on the field with parents invited along to watch sports day. It would be great to see this returned. With the playgrounds, having in parents and juniors would this be shared? What would happen with the play equipment especially when the juniors or year 2 want to play football? Children need space to burn off energy. A big playground and grazed area is vital. Will the teachers parking area use valuable space that could be used for the playground. Another concern is the amount of extra traffic that is going to be generated by going to 2 form entry. Ellingham Road is very busy. Where would parents park if we were to go to 2 form entry? Surely the parents couldn't park in Ellingham School grounds as that would take away vital space which the children need to have for playground space. Then if there were more cars, you would have to think about the safety of the children. Would we then have to have a parking attendant permanently at the school? Would that cost money? Where would the money come from? We are already experiencing problems with parking and we are only a one form entry.

The Ellingham Primary site is absolutely tiny and currently crams the children onto an inadequate site - to double the number of children there is ludicrous - obesity is a problem of the future and this site provides no area for children to have adequate exercise - a number of children attending this school live in flats with no outside space and any new housing that is being crammed into Chessington also

has less than adequate outside space meaning that the home environment and school environment the children will experience is detrimental to their wellbeing physically, socially and mentally - they are as disadvantaged as inner city children, which is not something we should be proud of providing for the children of the future.... There is not enough space on the site to house the number of children and there will not be enough space either to house the number of staff required to service the site. The parking of their cars alone will take up a sizeable chunk of the outside area of the site and will no doubt spill out onto the neighbouring streets, ruining the environment that people live in too... Do not fool yourself that you can get people to walk to work or take public transport - teachers especially cannot be late to work and cannot rely on public transport to get them there on time - hence they will drive and they will need to park their cars somewhere. If you need to have more space for children in schools in Chessington perhaps you should look at why such a large school site was closed down (Moor Lane)? how ridiculous and what an absolute waste of money - this area has plenty of outside space etc - perfectly suitable for holding the number of children you require without ruining other locations in Chessington. You are ruining Chessington with the number of extra housing you are cramming in - perhaps if this overbuilding was cut then there would not be the need for all these extra places.... I strongly feel that you should be looking for another site in Chessington for a school of this size.

PLEASE DO NOT INSULT US BY INGNOTING PEOPLES VALID CONCERNS IN THIS CONSULATION PERIOD - DO NOT SIMPLY TICK THE BOX SAYING YOU HAVE CONSULTED PEOPLE AND THEN NOT ACT ON IT AS SEEMS TO BE THE NORM IN CONSULTATIONS IN THIS COUNTRY. PEOPLE WILL SIMPLY VIEW CONSULTATIONS AS AN EXPENSIVE WASTE OF MONEY TRYING TO PLACATE RESIDENTS WHILST REALLY TREATING THEM AS IDIOTS. WE LIVE IN A DEMOCRACY AND AT THE VERY LEAST DESERVE OUR CONCERNS TO BE LISTENED TO AND ACTED UPON...DO NOT AGREE TO THIS EXPANSION - YOU ARE RUINING CHESSINGTON ENOUGH AS IT IS BY CRAMMING BUILDINGS AND AS MANY PEOPLE AS POSSIBLE ONTO ANY SCRAP OF LAND YOU CAN FIND...

I am opposed to expanding Ellingham School purely because of the small size of the site; a site that the Head and Chair of Governors told us in a letter "was cramped already, how we could possibly double in size". A school should provide an environment that develops the whole child, both academically and physically, and this means that emphasis should be put into providing not only a building for 21st century, but sufficient play/sports area to achieve this. There is national concern over child obesity and lack of exercise that should not be ignored. The plans presented last week do not show any landscaping, but it is obvious that the outside play/sports area will be reduced from the present size, since the architects have said that the new building has a larger footprint than the present. There will be the need for more staff car parking and we are told there will be more cycle racks, a scooter parking area and a wild life habitat area. What outside space will be left for 450 children to use for unstructured play and sports? There are many concerns about the effects these proposals will have on the Ellingham children and the neighbourhood. The children will be more disadvantaged than they already are because they will have less outside space than one form entry Chessington schools - that adequate protection is afforded to them from dirt and noise pollution whilst the school is demolished and rebuilt with them on site, and of course the neighbour-hood will be swamped with extra traffic that will cause even more disruption than at present. Travel to school plans do not seem to have the support of parents and simply do not work. Given that the opportunity has arisen to rebuild schools, should we not make sure that we provide the best possible environment for all children, schools that we can proudly pass on to future generations and not cram more children on to sites that are already considered cramped. Please do not agree to this expansion. I think it would be in the best interest of the children and Chessington if investigations were reopened to find a site for a new school. This would negate the need to expand both Ellingham and Lovelace Schools, and avoid the disruption to the children during important years in their education. Sites have been high-lighted for waste disposal facilities, and perhaps they could be considered for a new school.

Whilst I am in agreement with a rebuild to Ellingham Primary, it is totally unrealistic that the rebuild can only be considered if the school agrees to double the number of pupils that will attend. The main reason is that the school grounds are not large enough to cope with the increase in pupils. From the plans we have seen, the proposed new building will take up a larger footprint than the existing building. Once the car parking area has been added, the amount of outdoor space will be totally inadequate for the number of children who will eventually attend the school. There is a large increase

in obesity, and why should Ellingham children have state of the art classrooms, but be disadvantaged with outdoor space. There will be no area for sports and physical activity to take place effectively. Other schools in the area have much larger grounds than Ellingham, and are not expanding. There will also be more of an issue with parking, a fact which has already been acknowledged by the Head teacher as being a problem already. He has written to residents this week regarding the current problems, so how will this improve. Ellingham children have lost the playing grounds they once shared with CCC, now that CCC no longer uses their sports grounds behind Lovelace School. We have had land taken from us, and the space we have at the moment for playgrounds is totally inadequate, so how will increasing the number of pupils help with that? I am totally against the proposal, and consider that other sites should be considered, rather than turning this school into a very cramped area for the children to develop. It will be more like an inner London school, where there is no land for the children to use, and I think it is very short sighted to expect this school to expand, especially when the old Moor Lane site has plenty of land and fields, which is wasted in its current use. Many parents and residents are against the idea, but unfortunately many do not bother to comment as they feel that the decision has already been made and that their opinions do not count. I am speaking on behalf of them as well, when I ask you to reconsider the site, as Ellingham's grounds are not big enough.

We live in Ellingham Road and we already have terrible problems with parents who park in the street to take their children to Ellingham Road Primary School, although some park correctly, some are very inconsiderate. We further believe that expanding this site would be inappropriate - this would mean the site would be overcrowded as it is not big enough to cope with any more students and it would also put too much pressure on the environment in which we live, by having to cope with a large increase in the number of people and cars in the neighbourhood roads.

This plan is short-sighted and a waste of public money. These two schools were originally built for a specific number of pupils. By adding extra classes, you change the very nature of the school from small community school to large, impersonal school, where standards will inevitably drop. There is currently not enough space for extra children at Lovelace in the school hall for dining or assembly purposes and school clubs are now under pressure from extra demand. None of these things are taken into consideration in the plans. Many of the children at Lovelace do not live in homes with gardens and yet more and more of the space at the school is being taken up with ugly temporary huts. This means there is less and less space for playtimes, sports and other wonderful developments, like the allotments. Expansion also means not enough car-parking space for staff, which results in more of the school's green space being destroyed. Extra pupils also put pressure on the surrounding residential area as more and more cars bringing children to school try to park near the school. The surrounding roads are already highly dangerous for children arriving and leaving school because of the increasing volumes of traffic. No walk-to-school campaigns will ever stop this. Trying to cram extra children into these two Chessington schools whilst the old Moor Lane site is still available is utterly ridiculous and will never fulfil the demand for spaces in years to come. Moor Lane should be re-opened to cope with the demand for extra spaces in the Chessington area and existing schools should continue to take in the number of pupils that they were originally designed to house.

There are so many reasons that Ellingham is not a good site for expansion but I will do my best to be brief. Having now seen the plans, I am very unhappy as we were promised the schools footprint would be reduced but it has in fact increased. The outdoor space is not nearly enough for the number of children currently using the school, let alone double. The plans did not include any measurements at all of available outdoor space, no car parking was indicated, no access plans are finalised. The school already has too little field space, made worse by CCC's rebuild when they built sports courts using some of the land owned by Ellingham - another oversight I'm sure! Moor Lane, a prime school site, with access, parking, space has been transformed into a centre for the disabled, which I'm sure the council are very proud of, and as Anne Redparth herself said "a building we want other boroughs to aspire to", which is admirable, but effectively, the wrong site for the job as it has a sports field and a lot of room to rebuild and expand, and will no doubt in 15 years have another housing estate on! Moor Lane would be the perfect site, we were promised a new school on the site of the MOD land which never happened. Lidl's intend to build a supermarket near the access roads to the school, adding to the traffic in the area. The proposed access to Ellingham via Harrow Close is also going to prove a big problem as there is no parking, again the local residents have been overlooked and their quiet residential road will

be full of passing traffic. Ellingham road cannot cope with the volume of traffic currently and is dangerous both walking and driving due to not only residents and parents parking, but ccc staff park too, and construction workers from the two sites on leatherhead road park here, as will undoubtedly some of Lidl's customers when they open. When the work is started our children will have no outdoor space in which to play, and how do the council propose to protect them from asbestos exposure as we know that it is there on site. How will we know that the disruption will not affect our children's education? yes diggers are fun to watch but work must be done too, and we have only been free of construction traffic for the last full school year due to Chessington Community College's build. And it is the first year my child has made significant progress, which goes to prove that the building work will impact the children's education negatively. I agree Ellingham needs updating, but on this scale is ridiculous! It is a small site and any expansion is just not viable, as a parent I feel strongly we have been held to ransom. Ellingham was allocated money for a rebuild only a few years ago. The school have been let down by the council's lack of foresight already, and it cannot be allowed to happen again! This money should have been honoured as Ellingham's, money, as it was promised, and not lumped in with haphazard expansion planned out of desperation to keep up with council targets. We want our children to flourish in this school, but I don't believe they will if this ludicrous idea goes ahead. Please consider other site which would be much more successful and look again at the proposed waste sites as possible new school locations.

Ellingham Primary School site appears too small for expansion. There would be a loss of valuable outdoor space. With increased numbers of parents and visitors to the school, this may make access, traffic and parking problems worse. I would suggest reopening Moor Lane Junior School, with its large and valuable outdoor space available, putting to good use this very suitable site which operated successfully as a school up to a few years ago before it was closed.

Chessington already has 4 primary schools in the area, so I do not feel it is necessary to provide additional expansion at either Ellingham or Lovelace. Furthermore, I do not feel that the area can cope with all the additional traffic from cars for school journeys, as it already suffers with high traffic volume and parking problems, which are continually being moved on and not being addressed.

There is not sufficient space to accommodate such a large increase. In 7 years, you will be doubling the amount of children and parents using the school. Playground space is tight now. Where will the extra space come from? As I walk to school with my children I cannot comment on the access/parking as it does not affect me. The complete lack of grass/field/play area does concern me a great deal.

Castle Hill School is more suitable for expansion, and was a massive waste of public money put to its present use. Ellingham school would appear more suitable for special needs. Also parking in the area by parents dropping off children is both dangerous and anti-social. On a number of occasions irate drivers knock on our door voicing complaints that our vehicle is obstructing the road. Needless to say the vehicle is in the garage and the parked cars are parents from the school. Also no enforcement of the inadequate parking restrictions in Bolton Road. Council members need to get out of their offices and attend these areas during term time.

My real concern is about the number of primary schools because kids need more space and attention. Thank you.

Important to invest in primary schools which have nurseries also for children's development and social skills. Primary expansion is vital for children's overall learning and well-grounded growing experience.

What about Tolworth Infants? Can we rely on getting our children into a local school? Will new schools/expansions be built in time? How is a child ever supposed to get a place when they all go to siblings first? And if you live more than 5 minutes away, you have no chance! I will put a semi-serious request of a school being built next door. I might get a chance!!

A catholic school is needed south of the borough i.e. Chessington. It is unfair that children in Chessington who are catholic cannot get into their local catholic school, OLI, simply because of geographical distance.

I wish to see a new school built so existing children are not educated on a building site. Why can't land earmarked for a waste disposal site be used? A big mistake to shut Moor Lane school. Not very forward thinking!!

The preference of humanists and other non religious families and teachers will always be for inclusive secular schools to expand, when there is a need for expansion, because faith based schools may operate discriminatory admissions and employment policies and curricula

So long as class sizes i.e. pupil to teacher ratios, do not increase from what they are now, otherwise quality of education will suffer.

My daughter will be attending Lovelace in the future; however this is not my reason for my response. Isn't Ellingham a smaller school in the first place? Also we are concerned about an increase in the amount of traffic. We have a lot of parents who park outside our house. Schools should force parents to join car share schemes/car pool.

It would seem the correct and obvious decision to expand a school in this area. Ellingham would seem a good choice, given its location and oversubscriptions. Providing places for local children is a must, in line with Kingston's walking to school policy preferences i.e. within 15 miles from home. Please note: also look at secondary schools for when these children move on. Provisions must be made there too.

I believe Lovelace has expanded enough with too large classes as it is. Instead of expanding even further they should focus on the number of children and staff already there and allow smaller schools to expand!

My only concern is that there should be plenty of sports facilities especially a grass field, even if it has to be shared or taken from CCC and St. Philips.

I do not believe that the permanent expansion strategy should be implemented until the buildings have been secured. If that means expanding from 2012 this is more preferable than asking reception class children to move into temporary accommodation in 2011 until the buildings have been completed

It makes sense to expand existing school than build new providing the expansion can be accommodated without impacting on the current performance

I feel that 3FE is too large for a primary school

I am concerned about both expansions especially in regards to the disruption that will be brought to the children. I also would like details about the improvements that are going to be made to the road accesses to Lovelace. It is already chaos for the residents and the children; should Lovelace be expanded, how is traffic going to be improved? Are there any plans for cycling lanes for example? In regards to Ellingham, I think it would be a big mistake to expand it. The school already has a very limited outdoor area for the children. To double its size will only diminish the children's well being. Lastly, I would like to say that I really hope that the residents and parents in the area will be heard this time. Should voices like mine have been taken into consideration, Moor lane would NOT have been closed and we would have enough primary school places for our children without having to disrupt their education or spend millions of pounds.

It is obvious that extra places are needed and there is no room to build a new school in the area. I also guess that adding to existing, popular schools, is better for the children as all the systems, staff will be set up and established.

It offers the chance to allow more of us to have our children attend these excellent schools

There is realistically not enough places for children so it makes sense to extend

I have links with both schools as I am a childminder and have minded children in both schools. Lovelace - great - lots of room to expand. Ellingham - no room to expand. Already has very little open

space for children to play AND PARKING IS HORRENDOUS. With double the children, there will be double the cars. Not everyone can walk! Swallow your pride and reopen Moor Lane as a school. You shut it a couple of years ago because of lack of children. It is still a school building with lots of lovely playing fields. Why is this not an option?

My 3 children attend Lovelace and my 2 youngest are in 3 form entry years. I am looking at the 2 youngest being taught in portakabins for the rest of the time at Lovelace. The dinner hall is so small; kids eat their packed lunch in the corridors!! Expand the school then bring in more pupils, not put the current pupils in unsuitable situations before the school is ready for it! Assembly is a joke with the kids squashed in together. A fire would equal disaster, let alone more kids being brought in. In future years the school will be magnificent, but it is the current pupils who are suffering.

I feel the other schools in the area are 1 FE or 2 FE already to make Lovelace 3 FE would be too big a school. Also I am not sure the extra traffic congestion would help the busy Bridge Road roundabout. This would add some extra traffic to an already VERY busy set of roads (Leatherhead Road, Bridge Road, Mansfield Road and Hook Road).

Ellingham is seen as "the school" to send your child to. Parents are turning down places at good schools nearer to their home in order to "get" into Ellingham. I feel Ellingham is becoming a little too exclusive. I do not feel this is healthy for other schools in the area.

It is obviously necessary to increase the number of places available South of the borough. The 2 schools identified seem sensible candidates for expansion. It is likely that my daughter will attend one these 2 schools in September 2017. I would be concerned if we were unable to obtain a place at our first or second choice school and without this expansion this is a distinct possibility.

Ellingham school grounds aren't big enough for playing facilities for more children. Lovelace is already bigger than the other schools in the area to the detriment of the children there. Children are already cramped in some classrooms with not enough space to sit at tables comfortably. Concerns about space to accommodate the children in the playground, space to enable parents to attend events, parking problems have got much worse over the years - local residents get blocked in, verbally insulted etc by inconsiderate parking by parents. It has got worse since the school expanded and will continue to get worse. The roundabout in the turning circle is used as a parking place, by parents to who refuse to park and walk. The school office can't cope with the increased numbers, in terms of effective communication to parents. It has become a big, impersonal place and will get more so. It gives children less of an opportunity to take part in inter-school events and competitions compared to children in smaller schools. School hall isn't big enough to accommodate all children comfortably - they are squashed if they are all in one hall. Lunchtimes take longer and children complain of not enough time to eat or having to wait too long. It doesn't seem to be managing well with recent increase in numbers - don't think it is a good idea for it to be a permanent increase.

I think Kingston Borough Council should of thought of school places when new developments were built in the area. I already have to drive my children to school because that's the nearest one, and now there are three reception classes. The school now has children eating their lunches in the hallway because the hall is not big enough. We have a new children's centre that has taken up most of the one of the playgrounds. The schools playing grounds are not the answer for building new class rooms, the school is begin spoilt! There are just to many pupils. Why didn't you think about building a new school when the developers built all these new houses? I have noticed that the new Tesco proposals for Tolworth will included new homes but yet again NO SCHOOLS.

From previous visits to Ellingham, where will you put the school places? I understand the need to expand and agree that Lovelace has the space, however, I don't want my children in a building site which it was when the Children's Centre was constructed. Also the reason I chose Lovelace was the green space the children could access. Surely the extra building will spoil this and limit the space, meaning more children in less space, which seems silly. Why can't Moor Lane school site be used? It is already built and has all the required land. Where are all the extra parents going to park? I walk to school and the badly parked cars are a real problem and pose a danger to the children.

My daughter goes to Ellingham and is flourishing, my concerns are the parking as if you double the school or even just add an extra class it is going to increase traffic, parking at the moment is a nightmare and it is going to get worse, the road isn't built for that kind of traffic, some of us need to drive as it is too far for our children to walk, or we have to go to and from work so need to drive, the expansion is going to make it a lot worse. Also the disruption the building works would cause the children while it was taking place as it is going to disrupt them in some way at some stage and this can't be fair on them.

As the south of the borough borders Epsom and Elmbridge why can't we cross borough and feed into Surrey schools that may have spaces?

My eldest child failed to get a place in 2008 and only got a place when Lovelace added the "bulge" class. We are very happy with the school. Ellingham should be made to expand as they bragged about how they would never expand as that is what made them special! (St Pauls was my nearest school but all places are near enough always taken by siblings)

THIS WOULD NOT HAVE BECOME NECESSARY HAD PATRICK LEESON AND THE COUNCIL LISTENED TO PARENTS AND NOT CLOSED MOOR LANE JUNIOR SCHOOL. THIS WILL NOW COST MORE THAN IT EVER WOULD HAVE DONE.

Concern that due to possible shortage of funding the expansion may not be carried out properly. Ellingham needs improvement anyway but this needs to be done sensitively. I do not think that this school could support any more than 2 form entry, however. I feel that rebuilding of the school would have to be at least started before 2 form entry could start.

It will be too disruptive for the children whilst the building work is taking place. The children will suffer and so will their education. Ellingham Road is a cul-de-sac, how will the increase in traffic be managed? It is terrible now for parking and can only get worse... Why change a perfectly good and well performing school. Why can't extra places be added at other schools in Chessington?