

## Coeducational (boys and girls) comments received from the new secondary school consultation

"Education" is the only thing schools are for, leave social skills to another area. Leave New Labour out of our schools
2 schools-one for boys and one for girls would be better
3 schools on a main A road within 500 yards of each other is far too much of a traffic accident waiting to happen
A Co-ed school sized for the local North Kingston catchment area must be the correct option for this development
A much better solution would be to force Tiffin girls out of state sector or preferably to be co-ed and to expand these within the state sector
A single sex school would not really solve the problem
According to the statistics, single sex schools tend to perform better. The possibility of having one school but on two different sites should be examined.
Academically and emotionally better if it is a single - sex school
Agree that a new secondary school should be built in North Kingston but only if the grammar schools are to be retained in the same format as present i.e. the very low percentage of Kingston children allowed to attend. If the Greenwich ruling was revised, many more children from Kingston could attend the 2 Tiffin schools which could eliminate the building of a new school at all. No way should an 8 form entry school be built on the NK site.
all children should have equal opportunities and a co-ed school gives both sexes the same chance
Already Girls only/ Boys only school in borough
Already have Tiffin Girls and Tiffin Boys quite near each other, so no need for a co-ed.
Already have Tiffin Girls and Tiffin Boys quite near each other, so no need for a co-ed.
Already too many single-sex schools in Kingston
Although I can see that existing provision dictates that co-ed is most likely.
Although it does not affect me because I have no children I think we need a new school as long as local resident children are catered for first
Although recent reports suggest that boys do better in mixed schools but girls don't!
Apart from Kingston Grammar there is no co-ed school in Kingston at present
As a parent I prefer single sex education. However if budget/funding is only available for one school then perhaps coeducational is the best option
As I do not consider the proposed site is suitable I do not consider that a response to this question serves any purpose.
As I do not consider the proposed site is suitable I do not consider that a response to this question serves any purpose.
As mentioned before I am strongly opposed to a new school. We have Tiffin's, Fern Hill and North Kingston Centre. The traffic is very heavy at different times of the day. The volume of pupils will be excessive, noise, pollution, environment and amenities will be affected.
At present we do not have enough mixed secondary schools in Kingston
boys or girls
Because there are not enough places for boys and girls hence you need a school that is for both boys and girls locally so they do not need to be driven by car
Borough needs more good quality co-ed provision
Both sexes benefit from single sex schooling, especially girls
Both sexes perform better in single sex schools. Having 2 smaller single sex schools would make better sense academically and socially
Boy and girls near an existing top girls schools can be HIGHLY disruptive
boys school needed more and boys do not work so well at a co-ed school
Boys and girls do better when segregated.

Boys and girls should be educated together - they will have to work together later on.
Boys and girls their much better if educated separately. They learn at different pases and in different ways. Distraction by the opposite sex is at its prime in the teenage years
Boys and girls work better in single sex schools and in an uninhibited way. Kingston needs a new secondary school but North Kingston should not be the only area investigated
Boys are poorly served in terms of secondary education but co-educational is best
Boys only. We already have a girl's school.
Building a co-ed school next to a single sex school will create trouble around the school
But how about teaching boys and girls separately?
But I think that the sexes should be separated for certain academic activities, since girls often under achieve in these in co-ed environments.
But there shouldn't be a school at all.
But would not object to either
Children learn better in single sex environment
Children need to learn life skills as well as academic work, so I strongly feel the school life needs to reflect the real world i.e. both sexes competing for all jobs.
Children perform better in single sex schools
Clear research evidence to support the fact that girls do better at sciences in single sex schools. White boys are the most underperforming group and attention needs to be given to this. Too much focus on spreading thin resources to cover everything. Let teachers get on with teaching. One good teacher is better than 10 bad ones. Focus on that - not curriculum.
Clearly there has to be provision for both boys and girls, but there is a co-ed school in Ham (grey court) and also Hollyfield, so possibly there is a need for a boys and girls school i.e. 2 single set schools
Clearly there is a need for a new secondary school in Kingston but I do not think you have made the case for it being in North Kingston especially since the site proposed is unsuitable in many ways.
Co education works better
Co educational leads to having more balance views of the world and a better understanding of their fellow human beings. I know from experience that some pupils try to show off in front of members of opposite sex or see discipline as an affront to their dignity in a way which they would not find if in single sex environment
Co Educational school will be better as both boys and girls benefit for the new school
Co-ed 6th form. Mixed courses can be disruptive
Co-ed is a natural social state. It also enables families of siblings to attend the same school, supporting better family cohesion.
Co-ed school brings problems - fighting, bullying as can be seen from other schools
Co-ed school needed (not 8 form entry - smaller size) or boy's school and separate girls school on 2 separate sites.
Co-ed schools are seriously under catered for in the Kingston area.
Co-ed schools provide kids with the skills of tolerance and behaviour towards others
Co-educational boys and girls school or separate boys school and girls school on different sites like there was years ago (Rivermead and Bonner Hill)
Co-educational or a school for boys and a separate school for girls.
Co-educational or single sex would be determined by the ongoing statistics showing the shortfalls for both girls and boys and other available places in the Borough. I agree Co-education is more likely.
Co-educational school should not be next to a single sex school
Co-educational school should not be next to a single sex school
Co-educational schools do not achieve better results than single sex schools. However, one new co-educational school is probably more realistic than 2 new single sex schools.
Co-educational schools have proven to boost the results and performance of males but reduce the performance of girls.

Coombe Girls accessible from NK. Teddington and Greycourt are mixed, so boys school would be nice - Coombe Boys is too far away
Creating a school of this size would have limited effect if it was to be a single sex school. Hopefully there are already sufficient single sex schools in the borough for those who would prefer this option. Incidentally, I attended an all boys Secondary school and would have preferred mixed whilst my wife has the opposite view having attended a mixed secondary school
Currently there is only one no selective co-ed school in Kingston
Dependant on the foreseen requirements. How many boys' verses girls will be living in the borough?
depending on requirements and current ratios in existing schools
Depends on intake need
Depends on the location. If it remains at the North Kingston site, then not co-ed as this would cause many disruptions to nearby schools.
Depends on what Kingston needs
Disruptive to Tiffin girls next door, problems already experienced with bullying on bus journeys where other local co-ed school.
Distractions cause academic performance to suffer in the school
Do not agree that a school should open
Do not agree that there should be a new secondary school so question irrelevant.
Don't know the statistical details on which the decision is being made.
Evidence show that boys are badly underperforming, so perhaps provision should be made for boys and girls to attend some or all lessons separately. Boys and girls learning very differently and state schools tend to teach girls methods
Exam results have been proven to be much better when the girls and boys are segregated.
Existing secondary schools should be expanded on their own sites and/or additional ground
Expanding population of those retiring will also need spaces of recreation and learning by always making provision for the young you in fact are discriminating against the older residents.
Experience shows me that single sex schools best serve academic development with perhaps a mixed senior school for pupils over 16
Feel that there would be too many pupils in the area
For Boys and girls
Girls AND boys are equally disadvantaged in North Kingston
Girls do better in single sex schools. Boys in mixed. There is no easy solution to this!
Girls do better without the distraction of boys. However, it seems to make little difference to boys, but prefer single sex schools.
Girls do not do as well in co-ed schools
Girls perform better in all girls schools
Girls school if anything
Girls seem to get better result in all Girls school. Distraction is at critical time of their lives
GIRLS SHOULD BE SEPARATED FROM BOYS AND VISE VERSA
Good for boys and girls to mix but sometimes causes problems of concentration/distractions
Good for the community to have a co-ed school
Good idea, not enough mixed school in Kingston
Has to be really...
Having attended a mixed school myself, it is character building, and borough already has many separate girl and boy schools.
Having lost out as a parent with the closure of Tudor school I accept in principle that North of the borough does need a secondary school. However, because of past and present short sightedness of the authority i.e. over development of housing and the failure to include a school in the infrastructure while suitable sites i.e. Sainsbury's site/part of hawker site were available. The only choice we are being

offered is to over populate inadequate site. A double filling between 2 thick slices might be fire in a sandwich but is totally unreasonable when having 3 schools in a row on small length of the main road into Kingston.
However I feel classes should be separated i.e. classes at each level girls and a parallel class for boys to enable them to concentrate on their studies.
However, it has been proven that both boys and girls perform better at certain subjects (especially English) when studied without the other gender being present. Suggested reading - Raising Boys, by Steve Biddulph
I agree a school is required but feel this should be a small school
I am a firm believer in the superiority of co-educational schooling, though am interested to read recent studies and articles on the potential benefits of teaching lessons in single-sex groups in some cases.
I believe that if a mixed school, in particular, is opened next to, or even just near, schools like Tiffin Girls' school or Tiffin School (more in single sex schools) that the students in these schools would be bullied for being clever by the students from the new school
I believe children need co-ed to learn how to behave properly with the opposite sex
I believe single sex schools are better for pupils in years 7-11, thereafter co-ed schools are good. This would presumably mean changing the co-ed/non-council status of other secondary schools nearby
I believe single sex schools are more effective and would recommend that set up
I believe that evidence shows children get better academic results in single sex schools
I believe that students learn better when not in mixed schools.
I can't answer this as I am against having a school in Richmond Road as there are already 2 schools in close proximity. The traffic along there is already horrendous and our quality of life in the area is fast deteriorating. Cars, congestion, not enough parking spaces.
I do not know the merits of mixed schools as opposed to single sex schools. However, if the new school is not mixed then 2 schools are needed as there is a demand for places for both boys and girls
I don't know how many boys or girls need places, if it's significantly more of one sex than the other then it should be a single sex school but if it's an even mixture co-ed is only four
I don't really care about the school. When I went to school I had to bus it. So did my children. But Fern hill parents seem to get all that they ask for.
I feel there is a need for additional secondary education for both boys and girls - if we decline entry to one of these populations, the need for extra secondary places will still exist for that group
I greatly prefer single-sex secondary schools as the pupils are more focused, but I realise co-ed. is necessary on the one available site
I have a child in year 2 at St Lukes. This school will be built after he has a place at secondary school but still feel school should be branded for children who come later
I like the idea of a co-ed secondary to relieve the pressure on other school facilities in and around the borough
I oppose the proposal completely.
I personally think that it is important for boys and girls to study together. I mean the world is made of males and females, so children have to learn to live together
I prefer single sex schools as based on my experiences. I firmly believe that children learn better and behave better in a single sex school with no distractions or pressures from the opposite sex. I also believe that there are more girls than boys in Kingston.
I prefer single sex schools as pupils do better in them
I prefer the idea of single sex schools but given the needs of the area this probably isn't appropriate.
I see best to Adults Education People to Learning Course
I strongly believe that girls achieve better results in single sex schools
I strongly disagree with building a school of any type on the North Kingston site.
I strongly disagree with the building of any secondary school on the North Kingston site.
I think another school in Kingston will be very beneficial to the borough.
I think boys and girls should be in the same school, but there is evidence that if they learn certain subjects separately, they benefit from this.

I think boys do better in mixed schools but girls don't.
I think co-ed schools provide a better balance for children - life is co-ed
I Think having that number of schools in such close proximity to each other is a recipe for disaster. There must be another location more suitable than on this already busy road
I think if you are going to build a new school, to be fair it will have to be mixed.
I think it is important I have another secondary school in Kingston if there is an increase in the number of children will they warrant this facility. Our children's education must take priority as they are our future
I think it should be available to as much of the local community as possible. Therefore it should be co-educational and non-selective.
I think it will be disruptive and will also affect the girls' school down the road of there to be boys around.
I think Kingston is already well served by single sex secondary schools
I think single sex education is preferable and more popular with parents
I think single sex schools achieve higher grades and it should therefore be for girls only as it is next to another girls school, a boys school could be opened (or converted from an existing school) in another part of Kingston
I think single sex schools work best, there is less trouble outside the gates than with mixed schools
I think that children get more value socially from a co-educational environment
I think that some classes taught separately is a good idea, particularly in subjects where boys and girls are under performing
I think that the social and cultural advantages and benefits of co-education outweigh any arguments about girls doing better academically in single-sex schools (I'm not sure that they actually do, anyway).
I think that there is also a need to improve significantly the provision for single sexed schools for boys. Performance, behaviour & the reputation of Coombe Boys etc is below that of the equivalent girl's schools. Parents of boys are poorly served by the current arrangements.
I think there are enough schools already in a small area
I think this would best suit the needs of the children in the borough
I thought it had been proved that the sexes achieve better results when separated
I would like a Church of England or Roman Catholic mixed secondary school as there are not many mixed faith schools in Kingston and feel sure that would be a strong/high demand.
I would like girls school
I would not mind co-educational school as long as it is not in North Kingston (Near The Tiffin Girls' school).
If a school has to be located here then it should be co-ed
If a school is to be built it should be Co educational to suit the needs of the local North Kingston children.
If capacity required it would be for both sexes
If either girls only or boys only school is built it will put the gender not catered for in the same position as now
If it can be shown that neither gender suffers academically or socially then I am in favour of co-ed schools
if no co-ed, should be a boys school co secondary provision for boys in Kingston is not sufficient
If not co-educational then 2 separate single sex schools should be opened
If one has to be built, should be mixed
If possible co-educational school with single sex classes. This has been shown to be beneficial and in a large school such as this is possible
If the Tiffin Girls gave priority to local children, there would be more secondary places for residents' children and less requirement to be building new schools in the first place.
If the Tiffin schools became coeducational and non-selective would there still be a case for a new school in North Kinston?

If this school is built it must serve all the 11 yr olds in Nth Kingston and be a prized local school.
In the 21st century, any other solution would be outdated.
In the real world of employment men and women must work together!
Inappropriate site for a school with 8 forms of entry
It appears that separate boys and girls schools result in a better educational support system
It doesn't matter whether is co-ed or single sex...
It is better to build a school for girls OR boys but not together because they can't work very well together.
It is good to have a coeducational institution as it teaches children to interact well with each other.
It is in my experience a benefit that the school is co-educational. Socially in life we mix men and women in work and extra education
It is obvious a new school is necessary in Kingston. This problem would not have arisen if the original Rivermead Comp had not been railroaded for Tiffin Girls.
It is well known that the girls suffer whilst the boys benefit
It should open earlier than 2015
It should be boy's school or girl's school. Not a mixed school. In olden days, mixed school used to be a way of a punishment but now, in 21st century, it isn't. Boys are attracted to girls and girls are attracted to boys. They wouldn't be able to concentrate if opposite sex are near in school.
It will be difficult to avoid conflict with next door schools.
It will cause traffic chaos
It would be crazy to open a single sex school after all the hype about not enough places for children.
It's good for boys/girls
Kingston doesn't need another school to compete with Tiffin's. A co-ed school is the answer.
Kingston needs a coeducational comprehensive school. Boys particularly suffer currently from lack of co-ed school
Kingston really needs Co-ed school
Knowing how the sexes learn, separate boy/girl classes should be considered with some mixed where appropriate
Life and schooling are the same
Male and female schools are the best. They might pay attention to the master.
Many single sex options already exist.
Mixed school will cause problems for the Tiffin Girls school next door as the boys try to communicate with the Tiffin girls. They will also influence the Fern hill parents who collect their children every day. Death toll on road is high.
Mixing both genders together in a class would make each student aware of every difference and would then practice their coping and adaptability in the situation. The school/teachers would support the students in this regard.
More co-ed schools needed in the borough
MOST of the secondary schools in the borough are single sex so it is probably a good idea
Must be a mixed school
My daughter attends Tiffin Girls' school. We live in the neighbouring London Borough of Merton. It is not the provision of 240 secondary school places that I object to- in fact I would strongly support this. It is the proposed site of the new school, right next to Tiffin Girls' that I object very strongly to.
My daughter went to boys and girls secondary school and it was very disturbing for her. The boys were very naughty and they behaved badly in other neighbourhood so the school was in trouble quite a lot.
N/A
N/A as I disagree with the proposal itself.
Need to try to avoid children having to travel out of borough and so increase traffic congestion

No comments
No further school to be opened in North Kingston! Spend money improving existing schools
No school should be built at all.
No strongly in favour but given Tiffin Girls and Tiffin boys, it makes sense for the borough to offer a coeducational option
None
north Kingston has enough schools
Not enough co-ed schools in the borough or surrounding boroughs
Not enough information provided on any mismatch in current provision
Not enough room for separate schools
Not enough co-ed provision at present
Not living in Kingston borough (I live in Lambeth) I do not know the borough well enough to agree that the school should go to North Kingston though I appreciate from your account that the need in North Kingston is great
Not sure
Not sure on how many single sex secondary schools already exist in the area
Not sure where the pressure is - girls or boys and a new school should address that
Not sure where the pressure is - girls or boys and a new school should address that
Obvious need for more co-ed in Kingston and it is certainly better for boys, if not girls.
On the basis that we do not feel this is an appropriate location, the question is impossible to answer
One additional boys grammar school One additional girls grammar school
Only and only if council tax does not increase as a result
Only if the new school is a 4 form of entry community school with the provider being the local authority i.e. RBK
Our children are in Latchmere school in year one and they may benefit of local secondary school
Parents do not currently have the choice of a good, local co-educational school.
Personally prefer mixed. There are only 2 mixed in the borough and too many single sexes already.
Personally prefer single sex schools but know that many parents of boys want the option of a mixed school
Prefer option of same sex schools personally. However, if space doesn't allow, then co-ed will do
Prefer smaller, single sex schools
Presumably a gender count has been considered in case there is an imbalance.
RBK has too many odd schools (selective, church, single gender) and the only normal schools are in the south of the borough.
RBK is peculiar in Greater London in being underserved with co-ed provision
Research has shown that girls and boys learn better separately. If it needs to be a co-ed school then I would hope some lessons be taught separately.
School needed for this area - previous school should never have been closed. Bad planning.
Scrapping of Kingston's illegal grammar schools are the answer - parliament decided to end grammar schools so Kingston should obey like the rest of the country. Tiffin Girls school should be turned back into the feeder school which would meet the needs of North Kingston pupils. Further Kingston schools should be mainly for those residing in the borough and NOT from outside.
Separate classes for boys and girls could be provided to ensure best teaching for each gender.
separate gender schools work best
Separating them only makes them more interest in one another. Teach them early about everything so they are not naive to the world.
Shortage is for girls, as stated in the proposal.

Should definitely not be a boys school next to a girls school (Tiffin girls')
Shouldn't be a mixed or boys school as it is sited next to girls school and it could cause rivalry trouble outside gates
Significant research has been done in recent years to suggest that boys and girls learn in different ways and that both do better in single sex education.
Since there are only single sex schools in this area, a choice should be on offer
Single sex education is proven to provide a better education
Single sex education much more effectively delivered
Single sex school only
Single sex schools already exist and the choice of co-ed school should be available
Single sex schools are strength of the Borough and it would be better to have either 2 new schools or increase the size of existing schools to accommodate more numbers. Tiffin Girls' school for example which is adjacent to the new site should be expanded from only 120 girls per year. There is massive demand for places at both Tiffin Boys and Tiffin Girls. Coombe Girls could also be expanded.
Single sex schools are better
single sex schools are higher achieving academically- let us have a boys school only
Single sex schools have better results
Single sex schools have proven to be better achieving in academic results and noticeably behaviour is mature. Co-educational schools can be quite distracting and perform less well on many levels and categories.
Single sex schools I think are better
Single sex schools in our opinion do not work, rate increases in teenage pregnancy if you compare the statistics
Single sex secondary girl's schools do better for girls. Co-ed suits boys but not necessarily girls. Tiffin exists for girls. "Tiffin" would be for boys
some lessons should be single sex classes
Sports facilities totally. The school needs as much help as possible to ensure these facilities remain available to the school and wider community.
Statistics have determined that single sex primary and secondary schools achieve better results than co education schools. If a new school is to be built it should be boys only as Kingston is most lacking in this sex
Strong evidence to suggest standards higher when boys/girls educated separately
Studies repeatedly show that boys and girls get better exam results if they are educated separately
The borough is lacking a strong coeducational secondary school to prepare young people for the challenges and opportunities facing them in 21st century Britain
The borough is very well served by single sex schools
The council should continue to provide single-sex schools for pupils who would not thrive in a co-educational environment.
The current thinking of co-ed schools but separate teaching groups looks very interesting and positive
The girl's schools in Kingston perform consistently better than the boys and coeducation could help to even up this imbalance. There is limited coeducation choice at the moment and it needs to be increased.
The leading high performing schools within the borough are largely single sex. If this bolsters the achievements of the more selective and faith schools then why should the rest of the children in Kingston not benefit from this? Boys and girls develop at different stages of their schooling years and require different teaching techniques.
The local authority does not have enough co-educational schools. If this were to be single sex then there will be a need for another secondary school - presumably at a different location
The location for such a large school is unsuitable, mainly for traffic and congestion issues. There are already a huge number of school children who use that road route. A further 1500 or so would just complete jam up an already over used road route.

The needs of NK rather rule out a simple school. Tolworth girls will remain the only one I believe in addition to Tiffin girls for NK
The new school should be developed on the existing Tiffin Girls School and North Kingston Centre sites. Tiffin Girls and Boys schools should be moved out to the south of the Borough - many of their pupils are not local to the area. The new school should be for local children.
The non-selective schools currently available to boys are poor - there is no real choice for many. Also we strongly believe that boys and girls should be educated together.
The only girl's school is Tiffin Girls - many parents would prefer single sex schools even if their child is not academic.
The proposed co-educational school is not the issue. The location of the proposed school is a problem
The proposed location is not the right place to build a school. Already find it very difficult to drop the children - no parking space. We can't park on the main road. Why do you want to select 3 schools in the same location? It will create lot of tension between schools.
The proposed site will not provide sufficient space for an 8 form entry school. Congestion around the areas - children, parents and traffic - will be appalling. Worst case, it may end up being a white elephant that is boycotted by North Kingston parents.
The school is needed in Tolworth not North of the Borough
The School would wish to serve the children of all the local residents.
The site is close to Tiffin Girls school this will cause problems.
The site is too small for a school (secondary) and will have a massive impact on transport
The Tudor school was mixed and worked very well
There already is a girl's school called Tiffin Girls school. This new secondary school will give equal chance to boys and girls
There are already a lot of single sex schools in the Kingston area
There are already enough single sex secondary schools in the Borough
There are already some single sex schools in the borough
There are many schools of thought implying boys and girls (especially girls) do better in single sex schools. A school where they can be segregated for certain subjects but come together for particular ones would be of course the ideal situation
There are more girls schools to choose from already
There are not enough mixed schools in the area
There can be no case for a Girls Only school next door to Tiffin Girls.
There is a definite need for co-ed schools in Kingston as so many existing schools are single sex schools
There is a good case for achieving better outcomes educationally in single sex schools. Co-education can be offered as an option after 16, when the academic foundations have been laid.
there is a need for a boys school in Kingston
There is a need for a coeducational school in the NK area.
There is a need for additional busses to cover the forecast numbers of pupils (currently busses on route 65)as even at today's date the busses are jam packed with girls from Tiffin girls school and this makes it's almost impossible to board the bus at the next stop alone from the school
There is a shortage of places for both boys and girls. Having a single sex school may also split siblings up.
There is currently no co-ed option available within the borough for residents of North Kingston. (Hollyfield being so oversubscribed that most North Kingston residents do not qualify for entry)
There is currently no co-educational secondary in North Kingston (Grey Court is in Richmond borough and is any event oversubscribed).
There is need for spaces for both boys and girls locally.
There is no need for a new school. Make both Tiffin school non-selective. Both schools are funded by Kingston council tax and hence school be for the children of Kingston residents
There is no need to ahead with this proposal. Expand Greycourt School and make it a

Kingston/Richmond joint provision.
There is no problem with single sex schools as long as local children can get a place. We do not need another single sex school which offers 11+ secondary education from children the majority of whom are not local
There is not enough room on the proposed site to build an enormous 8 form of entry school
There is probably a need for a co-ed as there is Tiffin Girls and Tiffin School in the immediate area.
There is strong evidence to suggest that both boys and girls perform better, and to their full potential in single sex education. Therefore, any new school catering for both boys and girls should accommodate this by holding separate lessons for boys and girls.
There may be children who need more places in Secondary school who still have to go far away from their homes
There seems to be greater availability of places for single sex girls in RBK so could be a case for a single boy's school. My preference is for mixed.
There seems to be massive conjecture regarding future fertility rates. If there is a prolonged economic downturn with fewer state benefits, I would suggest a much lower birth rate is likely.
There should be a school in the area but previously suggested options should have been investigated thoroughly rather than use this as a topic to win an election.
There should be more single sex schools (especially girls schools)
there should be only boys or girls otherwise it will cause disturbance to the other schools around it like Tiffin girls' school and fern hill school
There would be many students and teachers in one small area, how can you arrange the transport, etc?
They might have sex.
This borough is lacking in diversity in this area with a huge proportion of single-sex schools
This is essential
This is on the basis of choice, but in practice there is no choice as it comes down to what your nearest school has.
This is very dependent upon the child There should be choice for co-ed or single sex as a one size fits all view is not in keeping with the National policy of Every Child Matters
This means that people who don't get into the selective schools have a place to go.
This means that the genders of Kingston's teenagers are even in school.
This should not happen.
This site is wrong for such a building CONGESTION
This type of school helps for later life
This will enable equal benefits for both genders.
To balance the single sex selective schools!
To express a view would presuppose that one had knowledge of research findings indicating positive and/or negative experience in co-ed schools as opposed to a single sex school. Presumably male/female births would also be a factor. How flexible are co-ed schools in what age groups do benefits or negatives manifest themselves?
Too close to Tiffin's, too disruptive.
Too complex an area to apply one form of system to
Too many pupils : 240 per year group making a total of over1500 pupils is too large regardless of gender
Too many single-sex schools in Kingston already
Too many young children in one area as there is Tiffin Girls and Fern Hill already
Too much of a strain on the already busy road with 2 existing schools
Traffic and noise levels need to be taken into account
Traffic Congestion Too many schools on Richmond Road Parking

Unrealistic to site single sex schools, even if it were desirable.
Unsure as only have experience of single sex schools which of good standard of education in the Borough
Very concerned about boys not having a good outstanding secondary school to choose (if not academic)
Very few schools in Kingston are co-ed when pupils reach year 7. This would address the balance.
very good idea long been needed
We agree only if the other structures are going to be put into place such as extra buses. There are many school children reliant on the 371 bus and not enough for people travelling to and from work.
We are short of places for both girls and boys
We are surrounded by schools and local transport cannot cope as it is.
We don't feel that a co-ed school next to a girls grammar school is appropriate
We have enough students in Kingston, a lot being from out of the North Kingston area
We need a boys school and a separate girls school
We need provision for boys more than girls.
We would prefer 2 single sex schools
Were any other sites considered? Would two smaller single sex schools be possible?
What other sites were considered would two smaller single sex schools be possible
Where will it be sited? The North Kingston Centres is too small, Hawker Centre unsuitable. What about the power station site?
Wherever a school is built (not the North Kingston site) it should be Co-educational.
Whilst I applaud the notion of statutory consultation with the public, the majority of these questions are more the domain of educationalists and an acceptance of the realities of the situation; the public's answers are therefore of rather limited value except to allow those with a particular axe to grind. Equally, other aspects that the public could realistically offer some viewpoint or insight (e.g. the policy of not giving preferential access to some Kingston schools to Kingston residents which is one of the causes of the need for Kingston residents to attend out of Borough schools) are not addressed.
Why do you think most private schools are single sex? It is because single sex education works much better. All of the best performing schools in London are single sex schools, whether private, state or grammar. I find it odd that faced with such evidence you'd decide to go co-educational. It seems incomprehensible.
Why have Fern hill, Tiffin, Greycourt and new school in a heap? Already we have traffic chaos on the Richmond Road. New school yes, SITE WRONG. There are too many "will be considered later". The scheme is NOT thought through but rushed because of government money. New Malden site! Where did it go??
work environment and life is female and male so education should be too
Would give balance and choice in the borough but not at this site - see further comments below.
would like the new school to be co-ed as I am not currently aware of many co-ed secondary schools in the area
You are too economical with the truth of other venues, school sizes, and feed schools, to make a rational decision. Given a choice of a 1 most will "vote" for it. An abjuration of democracy